

Automatic Control and Biosystem

Adaptive Observers for Wastewater Treatment

Sergej Čelikovský

ÚTIA AV ČR, v.v.i.

FEKT VUT v Brně

4. prosince 2015

Contents

- 1 Static adaptive observers
- 2 Dynamic adaptive observers
- 3 Wastewater monitoring - the model
- 4 Wastewater monitoring - dynamical adaptive observer
- 5 Wastewater monitoring - static adaptive observer

Static adaptive observers

Linear case

$$\dot{x} = Ax + bu + p\delta, \quad y = cx, \quad x, b, c^\top, p \in R^n, y, u, \delta \in R.$$

State x , input u , output y , unknown constant perturbation p .
 (A, c) detectable, i.e. $\exists l \in R$ such that $A + lc$ is Hurwitz.

Static adaptive observer:

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = [A + lc]\hat{x} + lc\hat{x} - ly + bu + p\phi, \quad \dot{\phi} = y - c\hat{x},$$

$$\mathbf{ED:} \quad \dot{e} = [A + lc]e + p(\phi - \delta), \quad e := \hat{x} - x, \quad \epsilon := \phi - \delta, \quad \dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\phi}.$$

Assumption: $[c, A + lc, p]$ is strictly passive, i.e. $\exists P, Q > 0$:

$$[A + lc]^\top P + P[A + lc] < -Q, \quad Pp = c^\top, \quad P = P^\top.$$

ED strictly passive from “input” $\tilde{u} = \phi - \delta$ to “output” $\tilde{y} = ce$
 with the **storage function** $W = (1/2)e^\top Pe$: $\dot{W} < \tilde{y}\tilde{u}, \quad \forall e \neq 0,$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W} &= (1/2)e^\top [[A+lc]^\top P + P[A+lc]]e + e^\top Pp(\phi - \delta) < -(1/2)e^\top Qe + \\ &e^\top Pp(\phi - \delta) < e^\top Pp(\phi - \delta) < e^\top c^\top(\phi - \delta) = ce(\phi - \delta) = \tilde{y}\tilde{u} \end{aligned}$$

Static adaptive observers

Linear case

Use LF candidate $V := \epsilon^2/2 + (1/2)e^\top P e$ to have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= (1/2)e^\top [[A+lc]^\top P + P[A+lc]]e + e^\top P p \epsilon - \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon < -(1/2)e^\top Q e + \\ & [e^\top P p - \dot{\epsilon}] \epsilon = -(1/2)e^\top Q e + [e^\top c^\top - c \dot{\epsilon}] \epsilon = -(1/2)e^\top Q e, \quad \forall e \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By LaSalle principle, trajectory of $[e, \epsilon]^\top(t)$ converges to the largest invariant set inside the set $\dot{V} = 0$ which equals to $[0, \epsilon]^\top$, i.e. $e \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Next, dynamics on $\dot{V} = 0$ is

$\dot{e} = p \epsilon, \quad \dot{\epsilon} = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } \epsilon(0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow e(t) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, t^*), t^* > 0,$
if $p \neq 0$. So, the only forward invariant subset of $\dot{V} = 0$ is the origin and therefore $\phi(t) \rightarrow \delta$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Adaptive observer with unknown parameter identification.

Proposition: If $[c, A + lc, p]$ is strictly passive then adaptive observer with identification of unknown parameter is possible.

Static adaptive observers

Linear case

Necessary and sufficient condition for “**Assumption**” to hold with some fixed gains l is the famous **strictly positive real condition (SPR)**. Namely, roughly saying, the well-known KYP (Kalman Yacubovich Popov) Lemma states that those P, Q exist iff

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ c[\omega i I - A - lc]^{-1} p \} > 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\Rightarrow \text{rel. degree} = 1).$$

Good for analysis, but how to design gains l ? E.g., Marino-Tomei: assume (A, c) is in observer form, $p_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-1} s + p_n$ is Hurwitz and $p_1 > 0$. Take

$$l = \frac{1}{p_1} (Ap + \lambda p), \quad \lambda > 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$p_1 (s^n + l_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + l_n) = (s + \lambda) (p_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + p_n) \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$c[sI - A - lc]^{-1} p = \frac{p_1}{s + \lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{SPR}$$

Static adaptive observers

Linear case

Marino-Tomei condition sufficient only:

1. KYP Lemma requires special form of Q .
2. SPR conditions are sufficient only.

Example: Two dimensional system in the observer form.

$$c = [1, 0], \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & 1 \\ l_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ c[(\omega i)I - A - lc]^{-1} p \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ [1, 0] \begin{bmatrix} (\omega i) - l_1 & -1 \\ -l_2 & (\omega i) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{[1, 0] \begin{bmatrix} (\omega i) & 1 \\ l_2 & (\omega i) - l_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix}}{-\omega^2 - l_1 \omega i - l_2} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{p_1 \omega i + p_2}{-\omega^2 - l_1 \omega i - l_2} \right\} =$$

Static adaptive observers

Linear case

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(p_1 \omega i + p_2)(-\omega^2 + l_1 \omega i - l_2)}{(\omega^2 + l_2)^2 + l_1^2 \omega^2} \right\} = \frac{-l_2 p_2 + \omega^2(-p_2 - l_1 p_1)}{(\omega^2 + l_2)^2 + l_1^2 \omega^2}.$$

So the corresponding transfer function is SPR if and only if (recall that $l_{1,2} < 0$ as these are observer gains)

$$-l_2 p_2 > 0 \wedge -p_2 - l_1 p_1 > 0 \Leftrightarrow p_2 > 0 \wedge p_1 > -p_2 / l_1 > 0 \Leftrightarrow |l_1| > p_2 / p_1,$$

Summarizing: if $n = 2$ and A, c is in observer form, SPR holds ONLY IF $p_{1,2} > 0$. Moreover, for any given $p_{1,2} > 0$ SPR can be satisfied by taking observer gains $l_{1,2} < 0$ such that $|l_1| > p_2 / p_1, l_2 \in R$.

That is, Marino-Tomei condition is necessary and sufficient for two dimensional system.

Static adaptive observers

Measurable nonlinearities

Consider the system (see again Marino-Tomei):

$$\dot{x} = Ax + f(y, u, t) + p\beta(y, u, t)\delta, \quad y = cx, \quad c = [1, 0, \dots, 0],$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_q \end{bmatrix} \in R^q, p = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix} \in R^n.$$

Here β is a q -row vector function, i.e. $\beta(y, u, t)\delta$ is a scalar quantity.

Static adaptive observers

Measurable nonlinearities

Adaptive observer:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{x}} &= [A + lc]\hat{x} + lce + f(y, u, t) + p\beta(y, u, t)\phi, & e &:= \hat{x} - x, \\ \dot{\phi} &= -\Gamma\beta(y, u, t)ce, & \phi &\in R^q, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0. \\ \dot{e} &= [A + lc]e + p\beta(y, u, t)(\phi - \delta) \\ \epsilon &:= \phi - \delta, \quad \dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\phi}, & \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\epsilon} &= -\Gamma\beta(y, u, t)ce.\end{aligned}$$

Provided those P, Q as in linear case exist, Lyapunov function $(1/2)\epsilon^T\Gamma\epsilon + (1/2)e^TPe$ proves that $e(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. LaSalle principle can not be applied for autonomous systems. Indeed:

$$\dot{e} = p\beta(y, u, t)\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \in R^q, \quad \text{for } e = 0.$$

Proposition: Assume $\beta(y(t), u(t), t)$ is persistently exciting (PE), i.e. $\exists t_0, k_0, T > 0$ s.t. $\int_t^{t+T} \beta^T(\tau)\beta(\tau)d\tau \geq k_0I > 0, \forall t \geq t_0$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(t) = 0$.

Proof is not easy and checking PE assumption is difficult.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Linear case

Consider SISO system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + bu + p\delta, \quad y = cx, \quad x, b, p \in R^n,$$

y, u, δ are scalar output, input and constant parameter perturbation. A, c detectable: $\exists l \in R$, s.t. $A + lc$ is Hurwitz.

Adaptive observer:

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = [A + lc]\hat{x} + lce + bu + p\phi + \gamma(t)\dot{\phi}, \quad e := \hat{x} - x,$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\gamma^T(t)c^T ce$$

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = [A + lc]\gamma(t) + p.$$

$\gamma(t) \in R^n$ is a column vector solution, called *adaptive gains*.

In total, $2n + 1$ equations: n observer equations, one parameter adaptation equation, n adaptive gains adjustment equations.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Linear case

Error dynamics:

$$\dot{e} = [A + lc]e + p(\phi - \delta) + \gamma(t)\dot{\phi}$$

$$\epsilon := \phi - \delta, \quad \dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\phi}.$$

Introduce the *combined error* \bar{e} :

$$\bar{e} = e - \gamma(t)\epsilon, \quad \dot{\bar{e}} = \dot{e} - \dot{\gamma}(t)\epsilon - \gamma\dot{\epsilon} =$$

$$[A + lc]e + p(\phi - \delta) - \gamma(t)\dot{\phi} - \dot{\gamma}(t)\epsilon - \gamma\dot{\epsilon} = [A + lc]e + p(\phi - \delta) - \dot{\gamma}(t)\epsilon$$

$$\dot{\bar{e}} = [A + lc]e + p(\phi - \delta) - [[A + lc]\gamma(t) + p]\epsilon = [A + lc]e - [A + lc]\gamma(t)\epsilon.$$

$$\dot{\bar{e}} = [A + lc]\bar{e}.$$

Therefore, $\bar{e} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Linear case

Adaptation equation can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\epsilon} = -\gamma^\top(t)c^\top c\gamma(t)\epsilon - \gamma^\top(t)c^\top c\bar{e}$$

Assumption: $c\gamma(t)$ is PE (persistently exciting), i.e.:

$$\exists \alpha > 0, T > 0, t_0 \geq 0: \alpha \leq \int_t^{t+T} \gamma^\top(s)c^\top c\gamma(s)ds, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

If PE holds, then $\epsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $e = \bar{e} + \gamma(t)\epsilon$ goes to zero as t goes to infinity.

Remark: Only adaptation with parameter identification is possible!

Multi-output case: more adaptation equations.

Multi-perturbations case: analogous, $p \rightarrow$ matrix P , $\gamma \rightarrow$ matrix Γ , PE more complicated. Adding suitable time varying matrix on the adaptation eq. rhs may help to satisfy PE property.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Linear case

Scalar output and scalar perturbation case: only helps to improve the convergence speed only provided PE holds.

Scalar output and scalar perturbation case: PE property has nice interpretation.

$$\gamma^\top(t) c^\top c \gamma(t) = (c\gamma(t))^2$$

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = [A + lc]\gamma(t) + p.$$

As $A + lc$ is Hurwitz, it holds $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = -[A + lc]^{-1}p \forall \gamma(0)$.

Therefore, PE is equivalent to the property that

$$c[A + lc]^{-1}p \neq 0.$$

Remark: Necessary and sufficient for the existence of the dynamic adaptive observer. It means that $c[sI - A - lc]^{-1}p$ is nonzero for $s = 0$ (no zero at ∞). Much weaker than SPR requiring $\text{Re} \{c[sI - A - lc]^{-1}p\} > 0$ on the whole imaginary axis.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Linear case

Example:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + p_1\delta, \quad \dot{x}_2 = p_2\delta, \quad y = x_1, \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{p_1s + p_2}{s^2 - l_1s - l_2}$$

Static AO exists **if** $p_2 > 0, p_1 > 0$. Dynamic AO **iff** $p_2 \neq 0$.

Example:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \delta, \quad \dot{x}_2 = 0, \quad y = x_1.$$

System can not be in any way observed, as $(d/dt)(x_2 + \delta) = 0$, *i.e.*, impossible to know δ and x_2 separately. Indeed:

$c[A + lc]^{-1}p = 0$ regardless the selection of observer gains l .

Example:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \delta, \quad \dot{x}_2 = \delta, \quad y = x_1.$$

AO possible as $c[A + lc]^{-1}p \neq 0$ for any selection $l \neq 0$.

Q. Zhang, "Adaptive observer for multiple-input-multiple-output (mimo) linear time-varying systems," IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, 47 (2002), no. 3, pp. 525–529.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Measurable nonlinearities

Nonlinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + bu + f(y, u, t) + p\delta, \quad y = cx.$$

Adaptive observer:

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = [A + lc]\hat{x} + lce + bu + f(y, u, t) + p\phi + \gamma(t)\dot{\phi}, \quad e := \hat{x} - x,$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\gamma^T(t)c^T ce$$

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = [A + lc]\gamma(t) + p.$$

The rest is the same.

Perhaps, the most general case where PE can be checked through some clear test.

Again, MIMO and multi-perturbation cases are analogous.

Dynamic adaptive observers

Measurable nonlinearities in perturbation term as well

System

$$\dot{x} = A(t, y, u)x + b(y, t, u)u + f(y, u, t) + p(t, y, u)\delta, \quad y = cx.$$

If $\exists l$ s.t. $\dot{\gamma}(t) = [A(t, y, u) + lc]\gamma(t)$ is exponentially stable, then the following adaptive observer is possible:

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = [A(t, y, u) + lc]\hat{x} + lce + bu + f(y, u, t) + p(t, y, u)\phi + \gamma(t)\dot{\phi}, \quad e := \hat{x} - x,$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\gamma^\top(t)c^\top ce$$

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = [A(t, y, u) + lc]\gamma(t) + p(t, y, u),$$

provided $\gamma(t)$ is PE.

No realistic test for PE exists.

G. Besanon, J. D. Leon-Morales, and O. Huerta-Guevara, "On adaptive observers for state affine systems", Int. J. Control, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 581-591, 2006.

X. Liang, J. Zhang, and X. Xia, "Adaptive Synchronization for Generalized Lorenz Systems", IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 53 (2008), No. 7, pp. 1740-1746.

Adaptive observers

Nonmeasurable nonlinearities

$$\dot{x} = Ax + f(x, u, t) + p\beta(x, u, t)\delta, \quad y = cx, \quad c = [1, 0, \dots, 0],$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_q \end{bmatrix} \in R^q, p = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix} \in R^n.$$

Static adaptive observers using LMI condition.

Static adaptive high-gain observers are not possible even for strictly triangular systems.

High-gain local dynamic adaptive observers possible for strictly triangular nonlinearities (Celikovsky, Torres, Rodriguez, Dominguez-Bocanegra, 2015).

Dynamic adaptive observers

Nonmeasurable nonlinearities

$$A(r) = \begin{bmatrix} rl_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ r^2 l_2 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ r^{n-1} l_{n-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ r^n l_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Dynamic adaptive observers

Nonmeasurable nonlinearities

Theorem

Let $F(z)$, $G(z)$, $P(z)$ be Jacobians of $f(z)$, $g(z)$, $p(z)$ at $z \in R$.
Then, $\forall l_1, \dots, l_n$ such that the matrix A_l is Hurwitz and $\forall k > 0$
there exists $r > 1$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ s.t. $\forall \phi_0 \in (\delta - \delta_0, \delta + \delta_0)$

$$\dot{z} = Az + f(z) + g(z)u + [l_1 r, \dots, l_n r^n]^\top z_1 + p(z)\phi + \gamma(t)\phi$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -k\gamma^\top(t)c^\top ce, \quad \phi(0) = \phi_0,$$

$$\dot{\gamma} = [A(r) + F(z) + G(z)u + P(z)\phi]\gamma(t) + p(z)$$

is the adaptive exponential observer provided that the signal $\gamma^\top(t)c^\top$ is the persistently exciting one.

Wastewater monitoring

Wastewater plant model

Model of bioreactor containing a continuous culture of *Spirulina maxima*. Denote the biomass x and the substrate s :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= x\mu(s) - x(u + \delta) \\ \dot{s} &= -a_3^{-1}x\mu(s) + (a_4 - s)(u + \delta) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Monod's rate of growth $\mu(s) = \frac{a_1 s}{a_2 + s}$.

a_1 is the maximal rate of growth, a_2 represents the Monod's saturation constant, a_3 is the yield coefficient, a_4 is the input substrate concentration.

The control input: the rate of dilution $u(t)$ feeding the bioreactor. It is biased by the constant unknown dilution supplement $\delta > 0$.

Note, that model structure does not fit even the most complex case of previous overview!!!

Wastewater monitoring

Transformation to new coordinates

$$x_1 := \ln(x), \quad x_2 := \mu(s), \quad x = \exp(x_1), \quad s = \frac{a_2 x_2}{a_1 - x_2},$$

$x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exists \forall x > 0$, $x_2 \in [0, a_1]$, $\exists \forall s > 0$. Transformed equations:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 - u - \delta$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{x_2 \exp(x_1) a_1 a_2}{-a_3 \left(a_2 + \frac{a_2 x_2}{a_1 - x_2} \right)^2} + \left(a_4 - \frac{a_2 x_2}{a_1 - x_2} \right) \frac{a_1 a_2}{\left(a_2 + \frac{a_2 x_2}{a_1 - x_2} \right)^2} (u + \delta).$$

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 - u - \delta$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} \exp(x_1) x_2 (a_1 - x_2)^2 + a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} (a_4 a_1 - (a_2 + a_4) x_2) (a_1 - x_2) (u + \delta).$$

Avoids singularities. Right hand side is not globally Lipschitz on the whole, but it is globally Lipschitz on bounded forward invariant subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Wastewater monitoring

Biological consistency of the model

Proposition

Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = x\mu(s) - x(u + \delta), \quad \dot{s} = -a_3^{-1}x\mu(s) + (a_4 - s)(u + \delta),$$

where $\mu(s) = \frac{a_1 s}{a_2 + a_1 s}$. The following properties hold:

- (i) The set given by $\mathcal{O}^+ := \{x > 0, a_4 > s > 0\}$ is forward invariant for every integrable input signal $u(t)$ and constant perturbation δ .*
- (ii) Every solution starting in $\mathcal{O}^+ := \{x > 0, a_4 > s > 0\}$ is bounded for every integrable input signal $u(t)$ and perturbation δ .*

For practical reasons, after some time $s(t) < a_4$, since a_4 is the input substrate concentration.

Wastewater monitoring

Biological consistency of the model

Proof.

To prove (i), check the right hand side on the boundary of \mathcal{O}^+ . As $x = 0$ is invariant, $x(0) > 0 \Rightarrow x(t) > 0 \forall t \geq 0$. Next, on the set $s = 0$ one has $\dot{s} \geq 0$ while on $s = a_4$ it holds $\dot{s} \leq 0$.

To prove (ii), introduce $\tilde{x} = x + a_3s - a_3a_4$, $\tilde{s} = s$, giving

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = -(u + \delta)\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{s}} = -a_3^{-1}(\tilde{x} - a_3\tilde{s} + a_3a_4)\mu(\tilde{s}) + (a_4 - \tilde{s})(u + \delta).$$

Consider $V = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}^2$, then $\dot{V} = -(u + \delta)\tilde{x}^2$. Therefore, trajectory starting at the point \tilde{x}_0, \tilde{s}_0 for $t = 0$ stays for $t \geq 0$ inside the set where $|\tilde{x}| \leq \tilde{x}_0$ for every integrable input and perturbation signals. In the original coordinates: the strip formed by two lines parallel with the line $x + sa_3 = 0$, its intersection with positive orthant is bounded for any $a_3 > 0$ by (i). □

Wastewater monitoring

Adaptive observer with dynamical gains

We have put wastewater model into the form

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + g_1(x)(u + \delta)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x) + g_2(x)(u + \delta)$$

$$f_1(x) = 0; \quad f_2(x) = -a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} \exp(x_1) x_2 (a_1 - x_2)^2;$$

$$g_1(x) = -1; \quad g_2(x) = a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} (a_4 a_1 - (a_2 + a_4) x_2) (a_1 - x_2).$$

By (Celikovsky, Torres, Rodriguez, Dominguez-Bocanegra, 2015)

$$\dot{z}_1 = z_2 + g_1(z)(u + \phi) + r l_1 (z_1 - x_1) + \gamma_1(t) \dot{\phi}$$

$$\dot{z}_2 = f_2(z) + g_2(z)(u + \phi) + r^2 l_2 (z_1 - x_1) + \gamma_2(t) \dot{\phi},$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\kappa \gamma_1(t) (z - x), \quad \kappa > 0,$$

$$\dot{\gamma}_1 = r l_1 \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + p_1(z),$$

$$\dot{\gamma}_2 = r^2 l_2 \gamma_1 + F_{21}(z) \gamma_1 + F_{22}(z) \gamma_2 + G_{22}(z)(u + \phi) \gamma_2 + p_2(z),$$

is the dynamic adaptive observer. Here

Wastewater monitoring

Adaptive observer with dynamical gains

$$p_1(z) = g_1(z) = -1$$

$$p_2(z) = g_2(z) = a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} (a_4 a_1 - (a_2 + a_4) z_2) (a_1 - z_2)$$

$$F_{21}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} f_2(z) = -a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} \exp(z_1) z_2 (a_1 - z_2)^2$$

$$F_{22}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} f_2(z) = -a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} \exp(z_1) (a_1 - z_2) (a_1 - 3z_2)$$

$$G_{22}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} g_2(z) = -2a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} [a_4 a_1 - (a_2 + a_4) z_2] - 1.$$

Wastewater monitoring

LMI based static adaptive observer

Result has been just submitted to ACC 2016 by J. Torres, A. Sonck, S. Celikovsky and A.R. Dominguez. Consider again

$$\dot{x} = x\mu(s) - x(u + \delta), \quad \dot{s} = -a_3^{-1}x\mu(s) + (a_4 - s)(u + \delta),$$

$$\mu(s) = \frac{a_1 s}{a_2 + a_1 s}, \quad \frac{d\mu(s)}{ds} = \frac{a_1 a_2}{(a_2 + s)^2}$$

Yet another transformation!

$$x_1 = \log(x), \quad x_2 = \log(a_4 - s), \quad x = \exp(x_1), \quad s = a_4 - \exp(x_2).$$

$$\dot{x}_1 = \frac{a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)}{a_2 + a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)} - (u + \delta),$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{-\exp(x_1)}{a_3} \frac{a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)}{a_2 + a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)} + (u + \delta)$$

Wastewater monitoring

LMI based static adaptive observer

Previous equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_2) \\ f_1(x_2)f_2(x_1) \end{bmatrix} + (u + \delta) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f_1(x_2) = \frac{a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)}{a_2 + a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)}, \quad f_2(x_1) = \frac{-\exp(x_1)}{a_3}.$$

Assume now, moreover, that $s \leq a_4 - \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then

$$f_1'(x_2) = \frac{-a_1 a_2 \exp(x_2)}{[a_2 + a_1 a_4 - a_1 \exp(x_2)]^2} \Rightarrow -\frac{a_1 a_4}{a_2} \leq f_1'(x_2) \leq -\alpha$$

Static observer:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(z_2) \\ f_1(z_2)f_2(x_1) \end{bmatrix} + (u + \phi) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \end{bmatrix} (z_1 - x_1)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\beta(z_1 - x_1), \beta > 0.$$

Wastewater monitoring

LMI based static adaptive observer

Error dynamics

$$\dot{e} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & f(t) \\ l_2 & f(t)f_2(x_1) \end{bmatrix} e + \epsilon \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dot{e} = \beta ce, \quad c = [1, 0], \quad f(t) = f_1'(x_2(t) + \theta(z(t) - x(t))), \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

$$-\frac{a_1 a_4}{a_2} \leq f(t) \leq -\alpha,$$

$$0 > f_2(x_1) \geq -M_x/a_3 := B.$$

Here, M_x is some a priori bound of values of variable x .

Next, find $P, l = [l_1, l_2]^T$ such that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_1 & f_{12} \\ l_2 & f_{22} \end{bmatrix}^T P + P \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & f_{12} \\ l_2 & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad f_{12} \in \left\{ \frac{a_1 a_4}{-a_2}, -\alpha \right\}, \quad f_{22} \in \left\{ 0, \frac{a_1 a_4}{a_2} B \right\},$$

$$P \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = P^T, \quad P > 0.$$

Wastewater monitoring

LMI based static adaptive observer

In total, there are 2 matrix equalities and 5 matrix inequalities to be solved by variables P, l , so using 6 real scalar variables. Tight, but feasible solutions were P, l successfully obtained!

Remark: The problem becomes LMI only after coordinate change $X = P, Y = Pl$, which is the well-known procedure.

Having P, l solving the above equalities and inequalities, Lyapunov-like function $V = (\beta/2)e^T Pe + (1/2)\epsilon^2$ shows that $e \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. LaSalle principle can be used to show the identification as well and β is an extra design parameter to adjust speed of convergence of unknown parameter estimate.

Finally, numerical experiments show that using high $\beta > 0$ allows to handle even time varying or state dependent uncertainties as well, but giving “practical” adaptive observers only.